



California Education Policy Overview 2017

MICHAEL W. KIRST

PROFESSOR EMERITUS, STANFORD UNIVERSITY

PRESIDENT, CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION





California Context

6.3 million students, 53% Hispanic, 1.4 million English learnersLarger enrollment than 22 smallest states, 11,130 schoolsBelow national average in spending and NAEP; above in povertyLowest percent in US of English spoken at home





Top Priorities

Common Core State Standards

Local Control and Accountability Plans/Annual Update

Multiple Measures Accountability

Chief Goal is Improving Classroom Instruction





Complexity of California

DC does not understand California's complexity or policy overload risk

• California can implement its agenda- but not Duncan's simultaneously

Do not change teacher evaluation or accountability during transition to Common Core

Patience, Persistence, Humility, Continuous Improvement





Beware of Policy Overload

Delayed teacher evaluation during Smarter Balanced implementation, no Race To The Top (RTTT), no ESEA waiver

Use Smarter Balanced assessments as instructional improvement tool





Policy Context

Policy window opens in 2012- \$44 billion, state aid increase since 2008

Patience, persistence and humility for 8 years


Grand coalition support for Common Core State Standards including Higher Education and California Teachers Association (CTA)



State Policy Alignment Strategy



Look for policy gaps, conflicts, and lack of depth in relation to CCSS







Policy Reform

Reform local school budget process to improve pupil outcomes
Change local process for allocating resources
Use county offices to oversee new local budgeting
School Quality Improvement System (CORE)
California Collaborative for Educational Excellence





California School Dashboard

A new website that shows how local educational agencies and schools are performing on test scores, graduation rates, and other measures of student success.





Key Advantages

More than a single number	Equity	Supports Local Decision-Making
A quality education is defined by more than a single test score	Increased focus on addressing disparities among student groups	More information to support the local strategic planning process







Home / West Chavez Unified School District - San Joaquin / Equity Report

Equity Report

West Chavez Unified School District - San Joaquin County

Enrollment: 4, 150	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 10%	English Learners: 5%			
Foster Youth: N/A	Grade span: K-12		Reporting Year:	Spring 2017	Ŧ

Equity Report Status and Change Report Detailed Reports Student Group Report

The Equity Report shows the performance levels for all students on the state indicators. It also shows the total number of student groups that received a performance level for each indicator and how many of those student groups are in the two lowest performance levels (Red/Orange). The total number of student groups may vary due to the number of grade levels included within each indicator.

State Indicators	All Students Performance	Total Student Groups	Student Groups in Red/Orange
Chronic Absenteeism	N/A	N/A	N/A
Suspension Rate (K-12)	۵	9	2
English Learner Progress (K-12)	٠	1	0
Graduation Rate (9-12)	٩	6	4
College/Career Available Fail 2017. Select for Grade 11 assessment results.		N/A	N/A
English Language Arts (3-8)	۲	8	0
Mathematics (3-8)	•	8	1
Local Indicators	Ratings		
Basics (Teachers, Instructional Materials, Facilities)	Data Reported		
Implementation of Academic Standards	Data Not Reported		
Parent Engagement	Data Not Reported fo Two or More Years	ж	
Local Climate Survey	Data Reported		
Performance Levels: 🛛 🔯 Blue (Highest) 🖓 Green	Vellow Ora	inge 🕐 Red (Lowest)	

An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has less than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are less than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

Optional Narrative Summary

A narrative text box will be provided as an optional feature for local educational agencies to describe their performance on the state and local indicators. This option will be included in the Dashboard Coordinator Portal to be completed by LEAs. The optional narrative will be displayed as text summary paragraph at the bottom each report to provide additional context and information.

Questions? Send them to lcff@cde.ca.gov.

Copyright 2017 California Department of Education





Demographic Information

The top of every page identifies the LEA or school and the name of the report selected, along with key demographic information.



Home / West Chavez Unified School District - San Joaquin / Equity Report

Equity Report

West Chavez Unified School District - San Joaquin County

Enrollment: 2,500 students	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged: 87%	English Learners: 76%			
Foster Youth: N/A	Grade span: K-12	Charter School: No	Reporting Year:	Spring 2017	•





Dashboard Reports

Equity: Overall performance and information about student group performance

Status and Change: Overall performance and the Status and Change for state indicators


Single Indicator: Overall performance and student group performance on a single state indicator including Status and Change


Single Student Group: Performance of a single student group (e.g., Hispanic) on the state indicators including Status and Change






Equity Report: Other Information





🖣 Green 💦 🤇





An asterisk (*) shows that the student group has less than 11 students and is not reported for privacy reasons. The performance level (color) is not included when there are less than 30 students in any year used to calculate status and change. An N/A means that data is not currently available.

Optional Narrative Summary

A narrative text box will be provided as an optional feature for local educational agencies to describe their performance on the state and local indicators. This option will be included in the Dashboard Coordinator Portal to be completed by LEAs. The optional narrative will be displayed as text summary paragraph at the bottom each report to provide additional context and information.

Optional Narrative Summary:

- Narrative box appears at the bottom of each report.
- Gives LEAs opportunity to explain their local context, and/or
- Identify circumstances that have impacted their LEA during the year.





Status and Change Report

Equity Report	Status and Change Report	Detailed Reports	Student Group Report	
0	port provides the performance level e prior year for each state indicator.	for all students on all stat	te indicators and identifies the s	tatus for the current year
State Indicators		All Students	Challen -	
State mulcators	5	Performance	Status	Change
Chronic Absente	eeism	N/A	N/A	N/A
Suspension Rate	e (K-12)	٨	Low 2.2%	Increased
English Learner I	Progress (K-12)		Very High	Increased +2.7%
Graduation Rate	e (9-12)		High 93.1%	Declined -1.5%
College/Career Available Fall 2017. Selec	ct for Grade 11 assessment results.		N/A	N/A
English Languag	e Arts (3-8)		Very High 49 points below level 3	Maintained +6.2 points
Mathematics (3-	·8)		High 31 points above level 3	Increased +6.5 points



Single Indicator Report

English Language Arts Assessment Report

West Chavez I	Jnified School Dist	rict - San Joa	quin County				
Enrollment: 4, 150	Socioeconomically Disadva	ntaged: 10% Engl	ish Learners: 5%				
Foster Youth: N/A	Grade span: K-12		Reporti	ng Year:	Spring 2017	•	
Equity Report	Status and Change Report	Detailed Reports	Student Group Report				



This report provides the performance levels for a single state indicator, English language arts assessments, for all student groups in the relevant grades for the indicator.

All <u>Blue/Green</u> <u>Yellow</u>	Red/Orange			
	All Students Performance	Number of Students	Status	Change
All Students	*	1,651	Very High 49 points above Level 3	Maintained +6.2 paints
English Learners	٩	196	Medium 0.6 points above Level 3	Maintained +2.7 paints
Foster Youth	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Homeless	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	۵	134	High 197 points above Level 3	Declined -6.3 points
Students with Disabilities	٩	227	LOW 19.5 points below Level 3	Increased +7.7 paints
African American	•	45	•	•
Asian		191	Very High 71.1 points above Level 3	Maintained +3.7 paints
American Indian	•	5	•	•
Filipino	•	11	Very High 64.9 points above Level 3	Declined +0.6 points
Hispanic	\$	127	High 39.5 points below Level 3	Increased +125 paints
Pacific Islander	·	2	•	•
Two or More Races	٨	54	Very High 47.3 points above Level 3	Increased +11.2 points
White_	*	1,216	Very High 48 points below Level 3	Maintained +6paints

The English learner student group definition for this indicator includes students who are currently English learners and students who were reclassified within the past four years. Data for both the English Learners and reclassified students are provided below for informational purposes.

	Number of Students	Status	Change	
EL - EL Only	37	LOW 63 paints below Level 3	Increased Significantly +27.9 pairts	
EL - EL Reclassified Only	159	High 154 points below Level 3	Increased +8 paints	





Plan Summary Revised LCAP Template

Based on a review of state and local indicators of student performance included in the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, local self-assessment tools, stakeholder input, or other information, what progress are you most proud of and how do you plan to maintain or build upon that success?

GREATEST PROGRESS

Referring to the LCFF Evaluation Rubrics, address any state or local performance indicator where overall performance was in the "Red" or "Orange" performance category or received a "Not Met" or "Not Met for Two or More Years" rating. What steps are you planning to take to address these areas with the greatest need for improvement?

GREATEST NEEDS

Additionally, identify any state indicator for which performance for any student group was two or more performance levels below the "all student" performance in the LCFF evaluation rubrics. Identify any modifications to the goals, actions/services and/or expenditures within the LCAP to improve these performance levels.

PERFORMANCE GAPS





Key Questions for LEAs

What are we doing to address the disparities in outcomes on [any indicator where all student performance is in Blue or Green, but an individual student group is in Red or Orange]?

Are we looking more closely at what the issues are with [any indicator where all student performance is Orange or Red]?

What were the two most significant conclusions we should draw from the local information collected around (select local indicator)?